FILED IN CLERKS OFFICE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS 2005 JAN 27 P 2: 17

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASS.

COIOIN

CIVIL ACTION NO:

DATE_

GREAT NORTHERN INSURANCE COMPANY as Subrogee of Jon and Abby Winkelreid

200 S. 6th Street **Suite 1000** Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-1470

VS.

FERGUSON & SHAMAMIAN ARCHITECTS, LLP

270 Lafayette Street Suite 300 New York, New York 10012

and

W.B. MARDEN, COMPANY

2 Milk Street Nantucket, Massachusetts 02554

	11/11/
RECEIPT #_	47676
AMOUNT \$_	150 CC
SUMMONS I	SSUED_2
LOCAL RULE	E 4.1
WAIVER FOR	RMM
MCF ISSUE)
BY DPTY. CL	KON

MAGISTRATE JUDGE

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Great Northern Insurance Company a/s/o of Jon and Abby Winkelreid, by and through its attorneys Cozen O'Connor, upon information and belief, hereby alleges the following:

PARTIES

Plaintiff, Great Northern Insurance Company (hereinafter "Great Northern"), is a 1. Minnesota Corporation, with its principal place of business located at 200 S. 6th St., Suite 1000, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-1470, and at all times material hereto was authorized to issue insurance policies in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

- 2. At all times material hereto, Great Northern insureds Jon and Abby Winkelreid (hereinafter "the plaintiff's insureds" or "the Winkelreids"), were individuals and owners of the property located at 15 Cathcart Road, Nantucket, Massachusetts (hereinafter "the subject property").
- 3. Defendant, Ferguson and Shamamian Architects, LLP (hereinafter "Ferguson), is a New York Corporation, with its principal place of business located at 270 Lafayette Street, Suite 300, New York, NY and at all times material hereto was engaged in the business of, *inter alia*, customized building design.
- 4. Defendant, W.B. Marden Co. (hereinafter "Marden"), is a business entity licensed and authorized to do business in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, with its principal place of business located at 2 Milk Street, Nantucket, Massachusetts and at all times material hereto was engaged in the business of, *inter alia*, providing residential plumbing and heating contracting services.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Jurisdiction is invoked under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §1332 as this is an action between citizens of different states.
- 6. The amount in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum of Seventy-five Thousand (\$75,000.00) Dollars.
 - 7. Venue is proper in this jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

8. At all times material hereto, the Winkelreids owned the subject property.

- At all times material hereto, plaintiff provided property insurance coverage under 9. policy No. 11574766-08 (hereinafter "subject policy") to the Winkelreids insuring its real and personal property at the subject premises.
- 10. Prior to January 19, 2004, plaintiff's insureds contracted with defendant Ferguson to design the subject property.
- Prior to January 19, 2004, defendant Marden was contracted to provide plumbing 11. services, including but not limited to, the installation of domestic water lines in the subject premises during its construction.
- 12. On or about January 19, 2004, a domestic water pipe located in an unheated void space adjacent to the chimney chase in the subject premises froze and subsequently ruptured.
- 13. This incident occurred because the domestic water pipe was improperly installed in an area exposed to foreseeably cold and freezing temperatures.
- 14. As a direct consequence of this frozen and ruptured domestic water pipe, water flooded portions of the premises causing severe and extensive damage to the subject premises and its contents.
- 15. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the subject insurance policy, Great Northern made payments to its insured for the damages sustained in the amount of Eight Hundred Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$807,590.72).

16. In accordance with the common law principles of legal and equitable subrogation, Great Northern is subrogated to the rights of its insureds with respect to the damages compensable under the policy.

COUNT I

PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT FERGUSON & SHAMAMIAN ARCHITECTS, LLP NEGLIGENCE

- 17. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 16 as if the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 18. The damages sustained in this loss were the direct result of the negligence, carelessness and/or reckless conduct of the personnel and/or agents of defendant Ferguson and Shamamian Architects, LLP, including but not limited to the following ways:
 - (a) Failing to properly design the subject property;
 - (b) Failing to ensure that the subject property was free of latent defects;
 - (c) Failing to properly and safely perform design activities at the subject property thereby increasing the risk of hazards occurring thereto, including water damage;
 - (d) Failing to properly, adequately and safely design, engineer, build and/or construct the attic space of the subject property;
 - (e) Failing to perform its design and architectural work and services in accordance with the terms, conditions and provisions of all applicable written and/or oral contracts, agreements, plans and specifications;
 - (f) Failing to properly, adequately and safely design, engineer, build and/or construct the plumbing system of the subject property;
 - (g) Violating statutes, codes and industry standards during the design of the subject property including, but not limited to, violations regarding the design, engineering and construction of the subject property including, but not limited to, failing to install required insulation and/or heating to

- portions of the subject property that would be exposed to air temperatures at or below freezing;
- (h) Failing to exercise reasonable care in the performance of the design of the subject property;
- (i) Failing to use due care and skill under the circumstances; and
- (j) Such other and further negligent acts or omissions which may be revealed through discovery.
- 19. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence, carelessness and/or negligent acts and/or omissions of defendant Ferguson, the domestic water pipe froze and ruptured, resulting in substantial damages to the Winkelreids' real and personal property.
- 20. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the subject insurance policy, Great Northern did make payments to its insureds for the damages so sustained, the total amount of those payments being Eight Hundred Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$807,590.72).
- 21. In accordance with the common law principles of legal and equitable subrogation, Great Northern is subrogated to the rights of its insured with respect to the damages compensable under the policy.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Great Northern Insurance Company a/s/o Jon and Abby Winkelreid, demands judgment in its favor and against defendant, Ferguson and Shamamian Architects, LLP, in the amount of Eight Hundred Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$807,590.72) together with interest and costs of this action.

COUNT II

PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT W.B. MARDEN COMPANY NEGLIGENCE

5

- 22. Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 21 as if the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- 23. The damages sustained in this loss were the direct result of the negligence, carelessness and/or reckless conduct of the personnel and/or agents of defendant W.B. Marden Company, including but not limited to the following ways:
 - (a) Failing to properly perform construction, installation and/or maintenance of the domestic water lines in the subject property;
 - (b) Failing to ensure that the subject property was free of latent defects;
 - (c) Failing to properly and safely perform construction activities at the subject property thereby increasing the risk of hazards occurring thereto, including water damage;
 - (d) Failing to insulate the area of the subject domestic water pipe within its space so as to prevent foreseeably cold temperatures from entering the premises and causing the subject domestic water pipe to freeze and rupture;
 - (e) Failing to protect freezing temperatures from compromising the integrity of the subject domestic water pipe located at the premises;
 - (f) Failing to perform construction, installation, service and/or maintenance work in accordance with the terms, conditions and provisions of all applicable written and/or oral contracts, agreements, plans and specifications;
 - (g) Violating statutes, codes and industry standards during the design, installation, service and/or maintenance of the plumbing system at the subject property including, but not limited to, violations regarding the design, engineering and construction of the plumbing system at the subject property including, but not limited to, failing to install required insulation and/or heating to portions of the subject property that would be exposed to air temperatures at or below freezing;
 - (h) Failing to maintain, service and/or repair the plumbing system at the subject property;
 - (i) Otherwise failing to use due care under the circumstances.

Page 7 of 9

- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the aforesaid negligence, carelessness and/or negligent acts and/or omissions of defendant Marden, the domestic water pipe froze and ruptured, resulting in substantial damages to the Winkelreids' real and personal property.
- Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the subject insurance policy, Great 25. Northern did make payments to its insureds for the damages so sustained, the total amount of those payments being Eight Hundred Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$807,590.72).
- In accordance with the common law principles of legal and equitable subrogation, 26. Great Northern is subrogated to the rights of its insured with respect to the damages compensable under the policy.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Great Northern Insurance Company a/s/o Jon and Abby Winkelreid, demands judgment in its favor and against defendant, W.B. Marden Company, in the amount of Eight Hundred Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$807,590.72) together with interest and costs of this action.

COUNT III

PLAINTIFF v. DEFENDANT W.B. MARDEN COMPANY BREACH OF WARRANTY

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 27. through 26 as if the same were fully set forth at length herein.
- Defendant Marden agreed to perform its installation services, including but not 28. limited to, the design, installation, inspection and activation of the aforesaid domestic water lines

in a good, safe and workmanlike manner, free from defects and in accordance with all applicable codes and standards.

- 29. Defendant Marden breached its expressed and/or implied warranties that its construction work, including, but not limited to, the design, installation, inspection and activation of the aforesaid domestic water lines would be performed in a good, safe and workmanlike manner, free from defects and in accordance with all applicable codes and standards.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of Marden's breach of express and/or implied warranties, plaintiff's insured suffered substantial water damage to their real and personal property for which Great Northern paid its insured.
- 31. Pursuant to the terms and conditions of the subject insurance policy, Great Northern did make payments to its insureds for the damages so sustained, the total amount of those payments being Eight Hundred Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$807,590.72).
- 32. In accordance with the common law principles of legal and equitable subrogation, Great Northern is subrogated to the rights of its insured with respect to the damages compensable under the policy.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff, Great Northern Insurance Company a/s/o Jon and Abby Winkelreid, demands judgment in its favor and against defendant, W.B. Marden Company, in the amount of Eight Hundred Seven Thousand Five Hundred Ninety Dollars and Seventy-Two Cents (\$807,590.72) together with interest and costs of this action.

Respectfully submitted,

BY:

atrick J. Loftus, IИ

9 Park Street Suite 500

Boston, Massachusetts 02108

(617) 723-7770

Of Counsel: COZEN AND O'CONNOR ROBERT M. CAPLAN, ESQUIRE Attorney for Plaintiff, Great Northern Insurance Company a/s/o Jon and Abby Winkelreid JS 44 (Rev. 07/89)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

rules of court. This form, approve theet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON	ed by the Judicial Conference THE REVERSE OF THE FOR	of the United States in Sep (Mr.)	otember 1974, is required for th	ie use of the Clerk of Court for the pur	pose of initiating the civil docket
L(a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANT	rs IN CLERKS OFF	ICE	
Ton and Abb	ern Insuranu (y Winkelveid	surbaril of	Ferguson	and Shamania	in Architects, u
	J		W.B.	Mayden Lompay U.S. DISTRICT CO DISTRICT OF MA ENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFEND	UR V
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE (EXCE	CE OF FIRST LISTED PLAI PT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CAS	WIFF HEAMPIN (MN)	NOTE: IN LAND CO	UISTRICT OF MA ENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFEND (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES O ENDEMNATION CASES, USE THE I LAND INVOLVED	INLT)
(C) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAM		PHONE NUMBER)	ATTORNEYS (IF KN	IOWN)	.0
tatrick I bof	tus III			,	C
9 Park Street	Suite500				
BOSTM, MA				1	
(17.723.	•		105		
II. BASIS OF JURIS		E AN × IN ONE BOX ONLY)	(For Diversity Cases Onl	OF PRINCIPAL PARTIE FOR PLA FOR PLA	(PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX INTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)
 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff 	3 Federal Ques (U.S. Govern	ment Not a Party)		PTF DEF	PTF DEF
☐ 2 U.S. Government	4 Diversity		Citizen of This State	☐ 1 Incorporated or Pr	•
Defendant	(Indicate Ci Parties in		Citizen of Another State	of Business in Incorporated and I	Principal Place 🛛 5 🗎 5
			Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country	of Business in a □ 3 □ 3 Foreign Nation	□ 6 □ 6
DI CALIGE OF ACT	701				
IV. CAUSE OF ACT		UTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILE	ING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT O	OF CAUSE.	
DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTE	S UNLESS DIVERSITY.)	10701	. \ / :\		
	28 USC	13521	$a_j(i)$		
V. NATURE OF SUI	T (PLACE AN × IN ON	E BOX ONLY)			
CONTRACT	TOR		FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane	PERSONAL INJURY 362 Personal Injury—	☐ 610 Agriculture ☐ 620 Other Food & Drug ☐ 625 Drug Related Seizure (28 USC 158	☐ 400 State Reapportionment
☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument	☐ 315 Airplane Product Liability	Med Malpractice 365 Personal Injury—	Property 21 USC 88:		☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 430 Banks and Banking
☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	Product Liability 368 Asbestos Personal	☐ 640 R.R & Truck ☐ 650 Airline Regs	PROPERTY RIGHTS	☐ 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc. ☐ 460 Deportation
Judgment 151 Medicare Act	☐ 330 Federal Employers' Liability	Injury Product Liability	660 Occupational Safety/Health	☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 830 Patent	☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations
☐ 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans	☐ 340 Marine ☐ 345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY	☐ 690 Other	840 Tradernark	☐ 810 Selective Service ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/
(Excl. Veterans)	□ 350 Motor Vehicle	370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending	LABOR CI 710 Friedrich III	SOCIAL SECURITY B61 HIA (1395#)	Exchange B75 Customer Challenge
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability	380 Other Personal Property Damage	☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards Act ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt.	☐ 862 Black Lung (923)	12 USC 3410 B91 Agricultural Acts
☐ 190 Other Contract ☐ 195 Contract Product Liability	☐ 360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Damage Product Liability	Relations 730 Labor/Mgmt.	☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI ☐ 865 RSI (405(q))	☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	Reporting & Disclosure Act	LJ 865 RSI (405(g))	☐ 893 Environmental Matters ☐ 894 Energy Allocation Act
210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure	☐ 441 Voting ☐ 442 Employment	☐ 510 Motions to Vacate	☐ 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	☐ 895 Freedom of Information Act
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land	443 Housing/	Sentence Habeas Corpus:	☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation	S70 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	☐ 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to
☐ 245 Tort Product Liability	444 Welfare	530 General 535 Death Penalty	☐ 791 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act	☐ 871 /AS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	Justice 950 Constitutionality of
290 All Other Real Property	1 440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus & Other 550 Other	1		State Statules 890 Other Statulory
VI. OŖIGIN		(PLACE AN × .	IN ONE BOX ONLY)	ransferred from	Actions Appeal to District
1 Original D	2 Removed from 3 State Court	Remanded from Appellate Court	4 Reinstated or	nother district	•
VII. REQUESTED I COMPLAINT:	N CHECK IF THIS IS UNDER E.R.C.P. 23	A CLASS ACTION	DEMAND	Social. Check YES only JURY DEM	if demanded in complaint:

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions):

DOCKET NUMBER.

IF ANY

DATE

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

			GUANCE OF ETEST BARTY ON FACE SIDE ONLY)	west Novemen programe Compani	1
1. 1	م ام ام	Ton	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	and Shamphist A ANSWAFELSE et al	,)
2. ·	CATEC	DPV IN 1	WHICH THE CASE BELONGS BASED UPON THE NUM		L
			(SEE LOCAL RULE 40.1(A)(1)).	11 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 1	
	_	I.	160, 410, 470, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF S	SUIT. U.S. DISTRICT COURT	
	_	п.	195, 368, 400, 440, 441-444, 540, 550, 625, 710, 716, 730 740, 790, 791, 820, 830, 840, 850, 890, 892-894, 895, 950		
	<u>Κ</u>	ш.	110, 120, 130, 140, 151, 190, 210, 230, 240, 245, 290, 31 315, 320, 330, 340, 345, 350, 355, 360, 362, 365, 370, 37 380, 385, 450, 891.		
	_	IV.	220, 422, 423, 420, 460, 510, 530, 610, 620, 630, 640, 65 690, 810, 861-865, 870, 871, 875, 900.	50, 660,	
	_	v.	150, 152, 153.	10165 KGS	
3.	TITLE	AND NU	MBER, IF ANY, OF RELATED CASES. (SEE LOCAL RI	EULE 40.1(E)).	
4.	HAS A	1 -	ACTION BETWEEN THE SAME PARTIES AND BASED OF	ON THE SAME CLAIM EVER BEEN FILED IN THIS COUR	Ι:
5.	PUBLI	C INTER	EST? NA	TIONALITY OF AN ACT OF CONGRESS AFFECTING TO	Œ
6.	IS THI		مأم	STRICT COURT OF THREE JUDGES PURSUANT TO TIT	Li
7.	DO AL	<u>.L</u> PART! TY) - (SI		ON OF THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS (WORCEST OR IN THE WESTERN SECTION (BERKSHI) RULE 40.1(D)). YES \(\sum_{0} \)	
8.	DISTI THE I	RICT? PLAINTI	YES NO	IN THE CENTRAL AND/OR WESTERN SECTIONS OF T	
9.	IN W	нісн se	CTION DO THE ONLY PARTIES RESIDING IN MASSAC	CHUSETTS RESIDE? Nantvoket	
10.	. IF AN	Y OF T		EALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS, OR ANY GOVERNMENT	ГA —
			PRINT) Patrick J. Loft VS, III		
AJ	DDRESS	9 Par	12 Street, Suite 500 Boston	n, MA 02108	
			417-723-7770		